

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,782	11/21/2003	David P. Engvall	5658/986 1530 EXAMINER	
757 7	590 02/03/2005			
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE			MEISLIN, DEBRA S	
	P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, IL 60610		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	
			DATE MAILED: 02/03/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/718,782	ENGVALL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Debra S Meislin	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		,				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 and 14-20 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 7-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	•				
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 21 November 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/04,7/04,8/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Offic PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/718,782

Art Unit: 3723

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 7 and 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Azkona (6199459).

Azkona discloses all of the claimed subject matter as broadly claimed by applicant. Note that the ridges of Azkona are located "around the slot" in as much as applicant's ridges are located around the slot.

3. Claims 7-8, 10, and 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hastings et al (4438669).

Hastings et al discloses all of the claimed subject matter as broadly claimed by applicant. Note that the ridges of Hastings et al are located "around the slot" in as much as applicant's ridges are located around the slot. The tongue element is "rocker shaped" as broadly claimed. Note that claim 4 does not define a rocker function, only a shape.

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hastings et al or Azkona in view of Schaffner Jr (GB 2166382).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/718,782

Art Unit: 3723

Hastings et al or Azkona disclose all of the claimed subject matter except for having curved ridges. Schaffner Jr discloses the use of curved ridges. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to form the ridges of Hastings et al or Azkona as curved as such would have been an obvious variation in shape for engagement between ridges and a tongue element as taught by Schaffner Jr.

Note MPEP 2144.04:

"B. Changes in Shape

In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966) (The court held that the configuration of the claimed disposable plastic nursing container was a matter of choice which a person of ordinary skill in the art would have found obvious absent persuasive evidence that the particular configuration of the claimed container was significant.)."

- 6. Claims 1-6 and 14-20 are allowed.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Debra S Meislin whose telephone number is 571 272-4487. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, alt. Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on 571 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 10/718,782

Art Unit: 3723

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Debra S Meislin Primary Examiner Art Unit 3723

February 2, 2005